## General Principles of Human and Machine Learning

## Tutorial 2: Origins of biological and artificial learning

## Tutorial Questions

## How far can we get with Thorndike's law of effect?

Thorndike's (1911) Law of Effect



Actions associated with satisfaction are strengthened, while those associated with discomfort become weakened.

## Tutorial Questions

How far can we get with Thorndike's law of effect?
What are the benefits? What are the limitations?
Benefits:

- Errors decrease over time
- Openess to trying new solutions
- Basis for all modern reinforcement learning (RL)

Limitations:

- Dangerous when some errors are fatal
- Lacks creativity and generalizastion of past solutions
- No formalism between behavior and outcome....


## Tutorial Questions

What are examples of complex behaviors learned through the law of effect?

## Tutorial Questions

What are examples of complex behaviors learned through the law of effect?

- Getting a traffic ticket when running a red light $\rightarrow$ learn to obey the traffic laws
- Missing your flight $\rightarrow$ arrive at the airport $\sim 2$ hours before the flight
- Code runs successfully after hours of debugging $\rightarrow$ learn to be patient when coding


## Tutorial Questions

What are examples of Pavlovian conditioning in our daily lives?

## Tutorial Questions

What are examples of Pavlovian conditioning in our daily lives?

- food aversion
- fear of dogs
- craving for popcorn at movies or glühwein at a christmas market


## Tutorial Questions

How do advertisers take advantage of us via Pavlovian conditioning?

## Tutorial Questions

How do advertisers take advantage of us via Pavlovian conditioning?

- Netflix sound
- coca cola commercial where they open a bottle dramatically


## Tutorial Questions

Complete the following table using the Rescorla-Wagner learning rules

Reward Estimation:

## Weight Update:

| Trial | $r_{-}$hat | RPE (r r r_hat) | $w_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |

## Tutorial Questions

Complete the following table using the Rescorla-Wagner learning rules

Reward Estimation:

$$
\hat{r}_{t}=\sum_{i} \mathrm{CS}_{i}^{t} w_{i}
$$

Weight Update:

$$
w_{i} \leftarrow w_{i}+\eta\left(r_{t}-\hat{r}_{t}\right)
$$

| Trial | $r_{-}$hat | RPE (r - r_hat) | $w_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  | 100 on each trial. Assume $w_{i}$ starts at 0 and eta $=.1$

## Tutorial Questions

Complete the following table using the Rescorla-Wagner learning rules

Reward Estimation:

$$
\hat{r}_{t}=\sum_{i} \mathrm{CS}_{i}^{t} w_{i}
$$

Weight Update:

$$
w_{i} \leftarrow w_{i}+\eta\left(r_{t}-\hat{r}_{t}\right)
$$

| Trial | r_hat | RPE (r - r_hat) | $w_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0 | 100 | 10 |
| 2 | 10 | 90 | 19 |
| 3 | 19 | 81 | 27.1 |
| 4 | 27.1 | 72.9 | 34.39 |
| 5 | 40.951 | 59.049 | 46.8559 |
| 6 | 46.8559 | 53.1441 | 52.17031 |
| 7 | 52.17031 | 47.82969 | 56.953279 |
| 8 | 56.953279 | 43.046721 | 61.2579511 |
| 9 | 61.2579511 | 38.7420489 | 65.13215599 |
| 10 |  |  |  |

## Tutorial Questions

Complete the following table using the Rescorla-Wagner learning rules

Reward Estimation:

$$
\hat{r}_{t}=\sum_{i} \mathrm{CS}_{i}^{t} w_{i}
$$

## Weight Update:

$$
w_{i} \leftarrow w_{i}+\eta\left(r_{t}-\hat{r}_{t}\right)
$$

Overshadowing: same as before, but with two $\mathrm{CS}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$. $\mathrm{CS}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ are paired with a reward of 100 from trial 1 to 10. What reward expectations are present on trial 11 if only $\mathrm{CS}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ are present? As before, assume all weights start at 0 and eta $=.1$

| Trial | r_hat | RPE (r-r_hat) | $w_{1}$ | $w_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 with $\mathrm{CS}_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 11 with $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |

## Tutorial Questions

## Complete the following table using the

 Rescorla-Wagner learning rules
## Reward Estimation:

$$
\hat{r}_{t}=\sum_{i} \operatorname{CS}_{i}^{t} w_{i}
$$

## Weight Update:

$$
w_{i} \leftarrow w_{i}+\eta\left(r_{t}-\hat{r}_{t}\right)
$$

Overshadowing: same as before, but with two $\mathrm{CS}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$. $\mathrm{CS}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ are paired with a reward of 100 from trial 1 to 10. What reward expectations are present on trial 11 if only $\mathrm{CS}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ are present? As before, assume all weights start at 0 and eta $=.1$

| Trial | r_hat | RPE ( $r$ - r_hat) | $\mathrm{w}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{w}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 100 | 10 | 10 |
| 2 | 20 | 80 | 18 | 18 |
| 3 | 36 | 64 | 24.4 | 24.4 |
| 4 | 48.8 | 51.2 | 29.52 | 29.52 |
| 5 | 59.04 | 40.96 | 33.616 | 33.616 |
| 6 | 67.232 | 32.768 | 36.8928 | 36.8928 |
| 7 | 73.7856 | 26.2144 | 39.51424 | 39.51424 |
| 8 | 79.02848 | 20.97152 | 41.611392 | 41.611392 |
| 9 | 83.222784 | 16.777216 | 43.2891136 | 43.2891136 |
| 10 | 86.5782272 | 13.4217728 | 44.63129088 | 44.63129088 |
| 11 with CS ${ }_{1}$ | 44.63129088 |  |  |  |
| 11 with $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ | 44.63129088 |  |  |  |

## Tutorial Questions

Complete the following table using the Rescorla-Wagner learning rules

Reward Estimation:

$$
\hat{r}_{t}=\sum_{i} \mathrm{CS}_{i}^{t} w_{i}
$$

Weight Update:

$$
w_{i} \leftarrow w_{i}+\eta\left(r_{t}-\hat{r}_{t}\right)
$$

Blocking: same as before, with $\mathrm{CS}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$. $\mathrm{CS}_{1}$ is now paired with a reward of 100 on each trial, but then $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ is introduced on trial 5 . As before, assume all weights start at 0 and eta $=.1$

| Trial | r_hat | RPE (r - r_hat) | $w_{1}$ | $w_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 with $\mathrm{CS}_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 11 with $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |

## Tutorial Questions

Complete the following table using the Rescorla-Wagner learning rules

## Reward Estimation:

$$
\hat{r}_{t}=\sum_{i} \operatorname{CS}_{i}^{t} w_{i}
$$

## Weight Update:

$$
w_{i} \leftarrow w_{i}+\eta\left(r_{t}-\hat{r}_{t}\right)
$$

Blocking: same as before, with $\mathrm{CS}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$. $\mathrm{CS}_{1}$ is now paired with a reward of 100 on each trial, but then $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ is introduced on trial 5 . As before, assume all weights start at 0 and eta $=.1$

| Trial | r_hat $^{2}$ | RPE (r-r_hat) | $w_{1}$ | $w_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0 | 100 | 10 | 0 |
| 2 | 10 | 90 | 19 | 0 |
| 3 | 19 | 81 | 27.1 | 0 |
| 4 | 34.39 | 65.61 | 40.951 | 6.561 |
| 5 | 47.512 | 52.488 | 46.1998 | 11.8098 |
| 6 | 58.0096 | 41.9904 | 50.39884 | 16.00884 |
| 7 | 66.40768 | 33.59232 | 53.758072 | 19.368072 |
| 8 | 73.126144 | 26.873856 | 56.4454576 | 22.0554576 |
| 9 | 58.5009152 | 21.4990848 | 58.59536608 | 24.20536608 |
| 10 | 24.20536608 |  |  | 0 |
| 11 with $\mathrm{CS}_{1}$ | 58936608 |  |  |  |
| 11 with $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |

## Tutorial Questions

What are examples of Operant conditioning?

## Tutorial Questions

## What are examples of Operant conditioning?

- training a puppy
- rewarding a child for cleaning their room


## Tutorial Questions

What are examples of where companies or governments use operant conditioning to shape behavior?

## Tutorial Questions

What are examples of where companies or governments use operant conditioning to shape behavior?

- Getting likes/retweets on social media posts
- Getting citations as a scientist
- Tip culture as a service worker
- Social credit score in China


## Tutorial Questions

Perceptron activation
In the perceptron below, what will the output be when the input is $(0,0)$ ? What about inputs $(0,1),(1,1)$ and $(1,0)$ ? What if we change the bias weight to -0.5 ? function is:


Figure 1: Single Layer Perceptron. $\mathrm{b}=1$

## Tutorial Questions

Perceptron activation function is:

$$
\sigma\left(\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}+b\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \quad \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}+b \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

In the perceptron below, what will the output be when the input is $(0,0)$ ? What about inputs $(0,1),(1,1)$ and $(1,0)$ ? What if we change the bias weight to -0.5 ?


Figure 1: Single Layer Perceptron. $\mathrm{b}=1$

## Tutorial Questions

Perceptron activation function is:
$\sigma\left(\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}+b\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}+b \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text { else }\end{cases}$

In the perceptron below, what will the output be when the input is $(0,0)$ ? What about inputs $(0,1),(1,1)$ and $(1,0)$ ? What if we change the bias weight to -0.5 ?


Figure 1: Single Layer Perceptron. b=1

Answer:

| Bias $=-1.5$ |  |  | Bias $=-0.5$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Input | Weighted sum | Output | Input | Weighted sum | Output |
| $(0,0)$ | -1.5 | 0 | $(0,0)$ | -0.5 | 0 |
| $(0,1)$ | -0.5 | 0 | $(0,1)$ | 0.5 | 1 |
| $(1,0)$ | -0.5 | 0 | $(1,0)$ | 0.5 | 1 |
| $(1,1)$ | 0.5 | 1 | $(1,1)$ | 1.5 | 1 |

## Tutorial Questions

Bias $=-1.5$ perceptron:
AND gate:
I_1: is_friday
I_2: is_4_15_pm
O: tutorial_time
Bias $=-0.5$ perceptron:
OR gate:
I_1: is_not_friday
I_2: is_5_30_pm
O: tutorial_over

## Tutorial Questions

What about XOR gates? Can a perceptron model it? Why or why not?

## Tutorial Questions

What about XOR gates? Can a perceptron model it? Why or why not?
NO! XOR inputs aren't linearly separable.


## Tutorial Questions

Design a perceptron to model predict whether or not you would like a certain food, movie, etc... based on a set of continuous features with binary outcomes. First, draw a table with a set of features and an outcome label. Come up with about 2+ features and 5-6 examples.

## Tutorial Questions

Design a perceptron to model predict whether or not you would like a certain food, movie, etc... based on a set of continuous features with binary outcomes. First, draw a table with a set of features and an outcome label. Come up with about 2+ features and 5-6 examples.

| Food | Sweet | Savory | Bitter | Enjoyment <br> (outcome) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chocolate | 0.8 | 0 | 0.2 | 1 |
| Whisky | 0.1 | 0 | 0.2 | 1 |
| Spaghetti | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 1 |
| Tennis ball | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 |
| Hot Garbage | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0 |

## Tutorial Questions

## draw/program a perceptron and perform the update rule

| Food | Sweet | Savory | Bitter | Enjoyment <br> (outcome) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chocolate | 0.8 | 0 | 0.2 | 1 |
| Whisky | 0.1 | 0 | 0.2 | 1 |
| Spaghetti | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 1 |
| Tennis ball | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 |
| Hot Garbage | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0 |

```
Algorithm 1: Perceptron Learning Algorithm
Input: Training examples {\mp@subsup{\mathbf{x}}{i}{},\mp@subsup{y}{i}{}\mp@subsup{}}{i=1}{m}
Initialize w and b randomly.
while not converged do
# # # Loop through the examples.
for j=1,m}\mathrm{ do
# # # Compare the true label and the prediction.
    error = y y -\sigma(\mp@subsup{\mathbf{w}}{}{T}\mp@subsup{\mathbf{x}}{j}{}+b)
    ### If the model wrongly predicts the class, we update the weights and bias.
    if error != 0 then
            ### Update the weights.
            w}=\mathbf{w}+\mathrm{ error }\times\mp@subsup{x}{j}{
            ### Update the bias.
            b=b+error
            Test for convergence
```

[^0]
## Tutorial Questions

| Training Step | w_1 | w_2 | w_3 | b | Outcomes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 (Chocolate) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 (Whisky) | 0.8 | 0 | 0.2 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 (Spaghetti) | 0.8 | 0 | 0.2 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 (Tennis ball) | 0.8 | 0 | 0.2 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 (Hot Garbage) | 0.8 | 0 | -0.2 | 0 | 1 |

## Tutorial Questions

| Training Step | w_1 | w_2 | w_3 | Outcomes |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 (Chocolate) | 0.7 | -0.2 | -0.5 | -1 | 0 |
| 6 (Whisky) | 1.5 | -0.2 | -0.3 | 0 | 1 |
| 7 (Spaghetti) | 1.5 | -0.2 | -0.3 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 (Tennis ball) | 1.6 | 0.7 | -0.2 | 1 | 1 |
| 9 (Hot Garbage) | 1.6 | 0.7 | -0.6 | 0 | 1 |

## Tutorial Questions

| Training Step | w_1 | w_2 | w_3 | b | Outcomes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 (Chocolate) | 1.5 | 0.5 | -0.9 | -1 | 1 |
| 11 (Whisky) | 1.5 | 0.5 | -0.9 | -1 | 0 |
| 12 (Spaghetti) | 1.6 | 0.5 | -0.7 | 0 | 1 |
| 13 (Tennis ball) | 1.6 | 0.5 | -0.7 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 (Hot Garbage) | 1.6 | 0.5 | -0.7 | 0 | 1 |

## Tutorial Questions

| Training Step | w_1 | $\mathrm{w}_{-} 2$ | $\mathrm{w}_{-} 3$ | b | Outcomes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15 (Chocolate) | 1.5 | 0.3 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 16 (Whisky) | 1.5 | 0.3 | -1 | -1 | 0 |
| 17 (Spaghetti) | 1.6 | 0.3 | -0.8 | 0 | 1 |
| 18 (Tennis ball) | 1.6 | 0.3 | -0.8 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 (Hot Garbage) | 1.6 | 0.3 | -0.8 | 0 | 0 |

## Tutorial Questions

Can you test it on new data to see how well it performs in predicting your preferences?

| Food | Sweet | Savory | Bitter | Enjoyment <br> (outcome) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chocolate | 0.8 | 0 | 0.2 | 1 |
| Whisky | 0.1 | 0 | 0.2 | 1 |
| Spaghetti | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 1 |
| Tennis ball | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 |
| Hot Garbage | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0 |
| Chips | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 1 |
| Wood | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Testing Step | w_1 | w_2 | w_3 | b | Outcomes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 (Chips) | 1.6 | 0.3 | -0.8 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 (Wood) | 1.6 | 0.3 | -0.8 | 0 | 0 |


[^0]:    Output: Set of weights $\mathbf{w}$ and bias $b$ for the perceptron.

